# On weighted spaces of functions harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^n$

## A.I. Petrosyan

Abstract. The paper establishes integral representation formulas in arbitrarily wide Banach spaces  $b_{\omega}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  of functions harmonic in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ .

Keywords: weighted spaces, harmonic functions, integral representation, isometry Classification: 30H05, 46E15

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. This paper extends the results of [1] related to arbitrarily wide spaces of functions harmonic in the unit ball B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to similar spaces of functions harmonic in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Namely, the integral representation formulas in spaces  $b^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which have natural definition, are obtained by exhausting  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by enlarging balls. Also, a representation connected with the natural isometry between  $b^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the space  $L^2(S)$  is obtained, which is of an explicit form of integral operator along with its inversion.

The results of this paper are faregoing multidimensional similarities of the early results of M.M. Djrbashian [3], [4] (1945–1948) which in essence gave rise to the theory of  $H^p(\alpha)$  spaces in the unit disc: the applied analytic apparatus allows to extend the results of [5] related to the one-dimensional case and holomorphic functions to functions harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that in [2], the case of weighted spaces of functions analytical in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is investigated.

1.2. We start with some notation which we use all over the paper.

 $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x| < 1\}$  is the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x| = 1\}$  is its boundary, i.e. S is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

 $\sigma$  is the normalized surface-area measure over S, i.e.  $\sigma(S) = 1$ ;

 $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all complex-valued homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

 $\mathcal{H}_k(S)$  is the set of all spherical harmonics of degree k, i.e. all restrictions of functions from  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to the sphere S;

 $Z_k(\eta,\zeta)$  is the zonal harmonic of degree k, i.e.  $Z_k(\cdot,\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}_k(S)$  and  $p(\zeta) = \int_S p(\eta) Z_k(\eta,\zeta) \, d\sigma(\eta)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{H}_k(S)$ ;

P[f] is used for the Poisson integral of f:

(1) 
$$P[f](x) = \int_{S} P(x,\zeta)f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \text{ where } P(x,\zeta) = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|\zeta - x|^n}.$$

### 2. The case of the ball

We shall use the following definitions and statements from [1] related to the weighted spaces  $b^p_{\omega}(B)$  in the unit ball.

As in [5], by  $\Omega$  we denote the class of all functions  $\omega(t)$  defined on [0,1] and such that  $\omega(1) = \omega(1-0)$  and

(i) 
$$0 < \bigvee_{\delta}^{1} \omega < \infty$$
 for any  $\delta \in [0, 1)$ ;

(ii) 
$$\Delta_k \equiv \Delta_k(\omega) = -\int_0^1 t^k d\omega(t) \neq 0, \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots;$$

(iii) 
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{|\Delta_k|} \ge 1$$
.

Further, for a given  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we denote

$$d\mu_{\omega}(x) = -d\omega(r^2) \, d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where  $x = r\zeta$  is the polar form of x, (i.e.  $r = |x|, \zeta \in S$ ) and define  $L^p_{\omega}(B)$  as the set of all  $d\mu_{\omega}$ -measurable functions in B for which

$$||u||_{p,\omega} = \left\{ \int_{B} |u(x)|^{p} |d\mu_{\omega}(x)| \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty, \qquad 1 \le p < \infty.$$

By  $b_{\omega}^{p}(B)$  we denote the subset of harmonic functions from  $L_{\omega}^{p}(B)$ . Further, for a given  $\omega \in \Omega$  we use the  $\omega$ -kernel of the form

$$R_{\omega}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k^{-1} Z_k(x,y),$$

where  $Z_k(x,y)$  is the harmonic extension of the zonal harmonic  $Z_k$  by its both arguments. As it is proved in [1], for any function  $u \in b^p_\omega(B)$  the following integral representation is true:

(2) 
$$u(x) = \int_{B} u(y) R_{\omega}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in B.$$

### 3. The integral representation in $\mathbb{R}^n$

**3.1.** Let  $\Omega^{\infty}$  denote the set of parameter-functions  $\omega(t)$ , which strictly decrease on the whole half-axis  $[0, +\infty)$  and are such that  $\omega(0) = 1$  and

$$\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega) = -\int_0^{+\infty} t^k d\omega(t) < +\infty \text{ for any } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

For a given  $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$  we introduce the space  $b_{\omega}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  as the set of all functions which are harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  and such that

$$||u||_{p,\omega} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)|^p d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty, \quad 1 \le p < +\infty,$$

where  $d\mu_{\omega}(r\zeta) = -d\omega(r^2)d\sigma(\zeta)$ . Let  $L^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the corresponding Lebesgue spaces. We shall deal with the following  $\omega$ -kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

(3) 
$$R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x,y)}{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)}.$$

**Lemma 1.** The right-hand side series in (3) is absolutely and uniformly convergent on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and hence  $R^{\infty}_{\omega}(x,y)$  is harmonic in each of its variables in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

PROOF: Let  $x = r\zeta$ ,  $y = \rho\eta$ , where  $\zeta, \eta \in S$ . As the function  $Z_k(x, y)$  is homogeneous in its both variables, we get

$$(4) |Z_k(x,y)| = r^k \rho^k |Z_k(\zeta,\eta)| \le r^k \rho^k h_k,$$

where  $h_k$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_k(S)$ . Now observe that under the above conditions

(5) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} = r^2 \text{ for } \Delta_k^r(\omega) = -\int_0^{r^2} t^k d\omega(t) \text{ and } \forall r \in (0, +\infty].$$

Indeed, it is obvious that  $\Delta_k^r(\omega) \leq r^{2k} (1 - \omega(r^2))$  and hence

(6) 
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \le r^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Delta_k^r(\omega) \ge -\int_{\delta}^{r^2} t^k d\omega(t) \ge \delta^k (\omega(r^2) - \omega(\delta))$$

for any  $\delta \in (0, r^2)$ . Therefore

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \ge \delta \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\left(\omega(r^2) - \omega(\delta)\right)} = \delta,$$

and hence by (6) the passage  $\delta \to r^2$  gives (5). Further, note that  $\Delta_k^r(\omega) \uparrow_r$ . Therefore by (5)

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)} \ge r^2$$

for any r > 0, and consequently

(7) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)} = +\infty.$$

The desired convergence follows from (4) and (7) in view of the estimate

$$(8) h_k \le Ck^{n-2}$$

(see, e.g. 
$$[7]$$
).

**3.2.** The following statement is the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $u \in b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$ . Then

(9) 
$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

PROOF: The idea of the proof is the following. For any r>0 we introduce a kernel

$$R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{k}(x,y)}{\Delta_{k}^{r}(\omega)},$$

where  $\Delta_k^r(\omega)$  is defined in (5). This kernel plays for a ball |x| < r the same role, as  $R_{\omega}(x,y)$  for a unit ball, after dilation we obtain the integral representation (10) from (2). And passing to limits as  $r \to \infty$  we get (9) from (10), which is expected, because the coefficients  $\Delta_k^r(\omega)$  of the expansion of the kernel  $R_{\omega}^r(x,y)$  tend to the coefficients  $\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)$  of  $R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y)$ .

Consider the function  $\omega_r(t) = \omega(r^2t)$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Then obviously  $\Delta_k(\omega_r) = r^{-2k}\Delta_k^r(\omega)$ . Therefore by (5)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k(\omega_r)} = 1,$$

and hence  $\omega_r \in \Omega$ . On the other hand,  $u(rx) \in b^2_{\omega_r}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ . Thus, the representation (2) is valid for u(rx). Now observe that for |x| < r, |y| < r

$$R_{\omega_r}\left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r}\right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x, y)}{r^2 \Delta_k(\omega)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x, y)}{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_{\omega}^r(x, y),$$

and  $d\mu_{\omega_r}\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) = d\mu_{\omega}(y)$ . Consequently, (2) can be written in the form

(10) 
$$u(x) = \int_{|y| < r} u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad |x| < r,$$

and to prove (9) it suffices to show that for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

(11) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|y| < r} u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y).$$

To prove this relation, observe that by Lemma 1 the function  $R^{\infty}_{\omega}(x,\cdot)$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore, by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) \right| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \leq \|u\|_{2, \omega} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) \right|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2} \\
= \|u\|_{2, \omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{\infty})^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Z_{k}^{2}(x, \rho\zeta) d\mu_{\omega}(\rho\zeta) \right| \right\}^{1/2} \\
= \|u\|_{2, \omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{\infty})^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^{2})| \int_{S} Z_{k}^{2}(x, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Further, it is evident that

(12) 
$$\int_{S} Z_k^2(x,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = |x|^{2k} \int_{S} Z_k^2\left(\frac{x}{|x|},\zeta\right) d\sigma(\zeta) = |x|^{2k} h_k.$$

Consequently,

(13) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y) \right| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \le \|u\|_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{\infty}} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

According to (7) and (8), the right-hand side a series of this estimate is convergent. Hence we conclude that the relation (11) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|y| < r} u(y) [R_{\omega}^r(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)] d\mu_{\omega}(y) = 0.$$

In order to prove the latter relation, assume that  $|x| = r_0$ ,  $r_0 + 1 < r_1 < r < +\infty$ , and observe that

$$I(r) \equiv \left| \int_{|y| < r} u(y) (R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{|y| < r_{1}} |u(y) (R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y))| d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$+ \int_{r_{1} < |y| < r} |u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y)| d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$+ \int_{r_{1} < |y| < r} |u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \equiv I_{1}(r) + I_{2}(r) + I_{3}(r).$$

To estimate the summand  $I_2(r)$  we once again apply Hölder's inequality:

(14) 
$$I_{2}(r) \leq \left\{ \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |u(y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |u(y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{r})^{2}} \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Further, according to (12)

Further, according to (12)
$$\int_{S} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) = \int_{S} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\sigma(\zeta) \int_{r_{1}}^{r} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^{2})|$$

$$= |x|^{2k} h_{k} \int_{r_{1}}^{r} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^{2})|.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_k^r)^2} \int_{r_1 < |y| < r} Z_k^2(x, \rho \eta) \, d\mu_{\omega}(\rho \eta) \\
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k}}{(\Delta_k^r)^2} \int_{r_1}^r \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^2)| \int_S Z_k^2\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, \eta\right) \, d\sigma(\eta) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^r} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{r_0 + 1}}.$$

The last series converges in virtue of (5) and (8), therefore  $I_2(r) < \varepsilon/3$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r_1$  large enough. On the other hand, from (13) it follows that  $I_3(r) < \varepsilon/3$  for  $r_1$  large enough. Besides, for any fixed  $r_1$ 

(16) 
$$I_{1}(r) \leq ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \int_{|y| < r_{1}} |R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_{k}^{r}} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{k}^{\infty}} \right)^{2} \int_{|y| < r_{1}} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by (15)

$$I_1(r) \le ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_k^r} - \frac{1}{\Delta_k^{\infty}} \right)^2 |x|^{2k} h_k \int_0^{r_1} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^2)| \right\}^{1/2},$$

and the latter series has a convergent majorant independent of r. Indeed,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_k^r} - \frac{1}{\Delta_k^{\infty}} \right)^2 |x|^{2k} h_k \int_0^{r_1} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^2)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x|^{2k} h_k \left( \frac{2}{\Delta_k^r} \right)^2 \Delta_k^{r_1} \leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{r_1}} < +\infty,$$

where the right-hand side series converges due to (5) and (8), as  $r_0 < r_1$ . Therefore, the right-hand side of (16) vanishes as  $r \to +\infty$  and hence  $I_1(r) < \varepsilon/3$  for r large enough. Thus, we conclude that  $I(r) \to 0$  as  $r \to +\infty$ , which implies the desired representation (9).

**3.3.** As  $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space  $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there is a unique orthogonal projection Q of  $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is described by

Theorem 2. The operator

$$Q_{\omega}[u](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in L_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is the orthogonal projection of  $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The proof of this theorem as well as of the statements below follows the same lines as the corresponding one in [1] and is thus omitted.

**Proposition 1.** Let a function  $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^{\infty}$  be continuously differentiable in  $[0, +\infty)$  and such that  $\widetilde{\omega}(+\infty) = 0$ ,  $\widetilde{\omega}'(t) < 0$  and is bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty} t^{-1} d\widetilde{\omega}(t) > -\infty$ . Further, let  $\omega$  be the Volterra square of  $\widetilde{\omega}$ , i.e.

(17) 
$$\omega(x) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\tilde{\omega}(t), \qquad 0 < x < 1.$$

Then  $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$  and

(18) 
$$\Delta_m^{\infty}(\omega) = \left[\Delta_m^{\infty}(\tilde{\omega})\right]^2, \quad m \ge 0.$$

By  $h^p(B)$  we denote the ordinary harmonic Hardy space in B. Besides, we consider the operator

$$L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u](x) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} u(tx) d\tilde{\omega}(t).$$

The following two theorems establish an isometry along with its inversion between  $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^2(S)$ .

**Theorem 3.** The mapping  $f \mapsto R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f]$ , where

$$R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f](x) = \int_{S} f(\zeta) R_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\infty}(x,\zeta) \, d\sigma(\zeta)$$

is a linear isometry from  $L^2(S)$  to  $b_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f \in L^2(S)$  and  $u = R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f]$ . Then

- (a)  $L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u] = P[f]$ , where P[f] is the Poisson integral (1); (b) the mapping  $u \mapsto L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u]$  is a linear isometry of  $b_{\tilde{\omega}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $h^2(B)$ .

Remark 1. It is well known that for  $f \in L^2(S)$  the function P[f] has a nontangential limit  $f(\zeta)$  at almost every point  $\zeta \in S$ . Thus, it is natural to identify f and P[f] and to say that the operators  $L_{\omega}$  and  $R_{\omega}$  are mutually inverse.

#### References

- [1] Petrosyan A.I., On weighted classes of harmonic functions in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Complex Var. Theory Appl. 50 (2005), no. 12, 953-966.
- [2] Petrosyan A.I., On  $A^p_\omega$  spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , J. Anal. Appl. 3 (2005), no. 1, 47–53.
- [3] Djrbashian M.M., On canonical representation of functions meromorphic in the unit disc (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk of Armenia 3 (1945), no. 1, 3-9.
- [4] Djrbashian M.M., On the representability problem of analytic functions (in Russian), Soobsch. Inst. Math. and Mech. AN Armenii 2 (1948), 3-40.
- [5] Jerbashian A.M., On the theory of weighted classes of area integrable regular functions, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 50 (2005), no. 3, 155–183.
- Rudin W., Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [7] Axler S., Bourdon P., Ramsey W., Harmonic Function Theory, Springer, New York, 2001.

YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY, ALECK MANOOGIAN STREET 1, YEREVAN 375049, ARMENIA E-mail: albpet@xter.net

(Received September 21, 2005, revised November 23, 2005)